**Thesis Statement:** Countries should pick soft power over hard power while making decisions.

**I.)COUNTER-ARGUMENT & REFUTATION:**

**Counter-argument 1: Hard power is tangible.**

A. results in short duration

B. requires less time

**Refutation: Peace by hard power is short.**

A. as soon as hard power is withdrawn, people revert to their original way

B. forces people to do choose opposite side even they are not intent to

**Counter-argument 2: Force other countries by hard power**

A. intimidate other countries to do own wishes.

B. having large army or nuclear weapon as deterrant.

**Refutation: Misuse of hard power undercuts soft power**

A. Soviet Union lost its soft power after attacking Hungary.

B. use of force would jeopardize economic objectives.

**II) PRO**

**Topic Sentence: Peace by soft power lasts longer.**

A. there is less war in use of soft power.

a. compulsion leads war.

b. hostilities are minimum

c. promotes intercultural understanding for conflict prevention

B. voluntairness to consent.

a. changes the attitude in a different way to one’s usual behaviour

**III)** **PRO**

**Topic Sentence: Beneficial for both country.**

A. attractiveness of money with the demand for political,social,economic development.

a. attractiveness of money is such a strong persuasive tool

b. offering seat at decision making table(Europe Union)

B. costs us less to lead

a. saves on carrots and sticks

b.

**IV)** **PRO**

**Topic Sentence: Influence other nations without an army using soft power**

A. countries used softpower to influence other nations.

a. America’s Hollywood

b. articles written by individuals

B. having an army is not a requirement for being powerful.

a. Scandinavian countries and Netherlands have powerful positions in international politics.

b. attractive causes such as economic aid or peacekeeping into their definitions of national interest